



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,715	07/18/2003	Toshihiro Mori	0649-0963P	1567
2292	7590	04/01/2008	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			KAPUSHOC, STEPHEN THOMAS	
PO BOX 747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			1634	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/01/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,715	MORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Kapushoc	1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen Kapushoc. (3) ____.

(2) Thomas Siepmann. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 March 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,10,12,13,15-20,23 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kitos et al (1973); Tsao et al (1978); Tomida et al (1993).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The teachings of the prior art were discussed. It was noted that Kitos et al provides for the isolation of poly[A] RNA from a sample, and Tomida et al provides for the specific purification of a nucleic acid of the size required by the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stephen Kapushoc/
Art Unit 1634

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required